


#### Introduction

The United States Geological Survey (USGS) houses over two million fossils within its Core Research Center in Lakewood, Colorado. In progress is a decade-long project to complete multiple fossil collection databases. We focused on enhancing the collections of USGS paleontologists John Hanley and Dr. William "Bill" Cobban by georeferencing and mapping fossil localities, the results of which will be uploaded to a publicly-accessible online database.

# **Establishing Fossil Localities: Method Timeline**





# Locating Fossils: Georeferencing and Mapping Invertebrates in the Western United States

August 3, 2017 UCAR Poster Session Elizabeth Etzel<sup>1</sup>, Jessica Ghent<sup>1</sup>, Kevin C. McKinney<sup>2</sup>, Chris Stuckey<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Front Range Community College <sup>2</sup>United States Geological Survey (USGS)





# **Shoreline Mapping**

Plesiacanthoceras wyomingense

**Step 1:** This ammonite from the late Cretaceous period was discovered in New Mexico by Dr. Cobban, a renowned geologist, biostratigrapher, and paleontologist.

#### Locality slip

**Step 2:** The locality slip, which corresponds to this particular fossil, details where and when the fossil was discovered, and which collector found it.

#### www.earthpoint.us

**Step 3:** The state, township, range, and section from the locality slip are entered into Earthpoint, which displays the area via Google Earth.

#### Google Earth

**Step 4:** The .kml file created from Earthpoint is opened in Google Earth, displaying quartered subsections within the primary section. These subsections are then interpreted to plot a locality point that corresponds with a latitude and longitude.


#### USGS Database

**Step 5:** The latitude and longitude are entered into a spreadsheet.

#### GIS map of fossil localities

**Step 6:** The compiled data is mapped using ArcGIS.

As a case study, we used the fossil database to reconstruct Upper Cretaceous Western Interior Seaway shorelines and compared them to those predicted by paleontologist William Cobban. Were we able to reconstruct shorelines using fossil localities in a GIS? Cobban theorized partial shorelines based on marine invertebrate species and lithology from the Upper Cretaceous (Cobban, 1994). We compared these theorized shorelines with locality data, an interpolated surface of fossil ages, and a digital elevation model, to create maps depicting and analyzing the distribution of fossils and their relation to the theorized shorelines in New Mexico.





The distribution of fossil ages showed statistically significant high-value clusters in southern and southwestern New Mexico and low-value clusters in northern and northeastern New Mexico. The general trend of shorelines receding towards northeast New Mexico remains consistent between Cobban's theorized shorelines from the 1950s and our reconstructed shorelines. However, there is much variation that requires further exploration. This study shows the utility for mapping applications of the fossil database, where other questions may be explored.















# **Database Application**

Both the Cobban and Hanley collections contain a wealth of data to be used for current and future studies. In addition to shoreline mapping, potential projects include investigating climate changes throughout geologic periods. These results could be compared to current global climate trends to interpret future risks including rising sea levels,

temperatures, and greenhouse gas emissions, and how they may impact the environment, population distribution, food scarcity, and more.



### **Future Work**

The Smithsonian's U.S. National Museum of Natural History, which contains roughly 3,500 specimens from the USGS fossil collections, will eventually house the entire assemblage. Additionally, the corresponding databases will be published online in sections. This fall, the first 5,000 locality records will be made available, with the remaining 10,000 following shortly. Shapefiles are also being created to allow users to view this data in an online map platform, or to download it for any number of inquiries.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Front Range Community College, particularly Dr. Richard Koehler and Professor Stefanie Pipis. We also gratefully acknowledge Lindsay Powers, Kevin C. McKinney, Chris Stuckey, and the USGS Core Research Center for their support during this project. Special thanks go to UNAVCO, especially Kelsey Russo-Nixon, Aisha Morris, Jacky Baughman, Beth Bartel, and Melissa Weber, for their guidance throughout this program.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1540524. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation

> From left to right: our shorelines, constructed from the fauna in locality records, an interpolated surface of fossil ages, and a digital elevation model; Cobban's reconstructed shorelines; a comparison of ours against Cobban's.

